

Question

If a car driver has had k accidents in the time interval $(0, t]$, then in the time interval $(t, t + \delta t)$ she has a probability of

- (i) $(\beta + \gamma k)\delta t + o(\delta t)$ of having one accident,
- (ii) $1 - (\beta + \gamma k)\delta t + o(\delta t)$ of having no accidents,
- (iii) $o(\delta t)$ of having more than one accident.

Let $P_k(t)$ denote the probability that the driver has k accidents in the time interval $(0, t]$. Show that the generating function

$$G(z, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) z^k$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \gamma z(1-z) \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \beta(1-z)G(z, t) = 0,$$

and explain why $G(z, 0) \equiv 1$.

Verify that

$$G(z, t) = e^{-\beta t} \left[1 - \left(1 - e^{-\gamma t} \right) z \right]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}$$

satisfies these equations.

Answer

The unusual arguments concerning conditional probabilities leads to (omitting $\sigma(\delta t)$ terms)

$$p_0(t + \delta t) = p_0(t)(1 - \beta\delta t)$$

we deduce

$$p'_0(t) = -\beta p_0(t)$$

For $k > 0$

$$p_k(t + \delta t) = p_k(t)(1 - (\beta + \gamma k)\delta t) + p_{k-1}(t)(\beta + \gamma(k+1)\delta t)$$

We deduce that

$$p'_k(t) = -(\beta + \gamma k)p_k(t) + (\beta + \gamma(k-1))p_{k-1}(t)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p'_k(t) z^k \\
&= -\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) z^k - \gamma z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) k z^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \beta z \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(t) z^{k-1} + \gamma z^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_{k-1}(t) (k-1) z^{k-2} \\
&= -\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) z^k - \gamma z^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) k z^{k-1} \\
&\quad + \beta z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) z^k + \gamma z^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(t) k z^{k-1} \\
&= -\beta G - \gamma z \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \beta z G + \gamma z^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \gamma z(1-z) \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} + \beta(1-z) = 0$$

Now $p_0(0) = 1$ and $p_k(0) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$ Thus

$$G(z, 0) \equiv 1$$

Let $G(z, t) = e^{-\beta t} [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}}$ Then $G(z, 0) \equiv 1$, and,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial G}{\partial z} &= e^{-\beta t} [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} \frac{\beta}{\gamma} (1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \\
\frac{\partial G}{\partial t} &= -\beta e^{-\beta t} [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} \\
&\quad + e^{-\beta t} [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \cdot \gamma e^{-\gamma t} z
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
e^{\beta t} (\beta G - \beta z G + \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} + \gamma z \frac{\partial G}{\partial z} - \gamma z^2 \frac{\partial G}{\partial z}) \\
&= \beta [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} - \beta z [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} \\
&\quad - \beta [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}} + [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} \beta z e^{-\gamma t} \\
&\quad + \beta z [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} (1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \\
&\quad - \beta z^2 [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} (1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \\
&= -\beta z [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z] \\
&\quad + \beta z [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} - \beta z^2 [1 - (1 - e^{-\gamma t})z]^{-\frac{\beta}{\gamma}-1} (1 - e^{-\gamma t}) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the given equation satisfies the differential equation.